FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH CONFERENCE 2007

Doctoral Presentation Evaluation

Presenter's name: keur Peug dem.					
Rating (tick appropriate box)	Very	Low	Med	High	Very
Zamag (treat app of treat app	low		(2)		high
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Evaluation and integration of existing literature					
Clearly explained motivation for conducting research	<u> </u>			-	
Clearly explained motivation for conducting research Clearly stated aims and research questions					
Clearly described research design/methodology					
Expected contributions of research to field					
6. Ability to use clear, simple English with limited jargon.					
7. Ability to respond appropriately to questions					
8. Presentation delivered effectively within allocated time	-		V.		
9. Overall quality of powerpoint presentation					
y. Oyotan quarty or per open pro-				L	
TOTAL			ĺν	מג	
			\\\frac{\frac{1}{1}}{1}		
Assessor's comments:					
That is not read a daylond	Meser	Antro	2 45	the A	enno
This is not really a doctoral that it is not a present	tini	M (to 87cm	uden t	
And preparal & Phyl ra			: a 0	ander)
	Bowok	· _	ream	L A	
keen presented somewa	•	/ ^ -			
21 A de la la transcent		a ' 8	un "	lie se	ono
il will to the state of	fly		a di arra		7
the second	1	hall	Die		<u> </u>
Clarify Cold of the Market	1200	777000		00-00-	
inservers, your fun				,	
					
Assessor's name (optional):			F		-
(a copy of this assessment sheet will be provided to the stud	ent after co	nference)			
		.′			

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH CONFERENCE 2007

Doctoral Presentation Evaluation

Presenter's name: Kiau Ping Lim					
Rating (tick appropriate box)	Very low (1)	Low (2)	Med (3)	High	Very high (5)
	, , ,	الـــــــا		<u> </u>	
Evaluation and integration of existing literature					× AD
Clearly explained motivation for conducting research					~
3. Cleary stated aims and research questions					AL DE
Clearly described research design/methodology					~
5. Expected contributions of research to field			0000	Verson	
6. Ability to use clear, simple English with limited jargon.			<u> </u>		W
7. Ability to respond appropriately to questions				V	
8. Presentation delivered effectively within allocated time					
9. Overall quality of powerpoint presentation			V		
	<u> </u>				
TOTAL					
Assessor's comments: When preventing please Tour dides I sedue them.	une de	too wa	in dot	l prin	li. Yleane
		-			
					
					
Assessor's name (optional): (a copy of this assessment sheet will be provided to the stu				<u></u>	

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH CONFERENCE 2007

Doctoral Presentation Evaluation

Presenter's name: KIAN - PING LIM					
Rating (tick appropriate box)	Very low	Low	Med	High	Very high
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	[]	· .			, ,
Evaluation and integration of existing literature					V
2. Clearly explained motivation for conducting research				V	
Cleary stated aims and research questions					
4. Clearly described research design/methodology					/
5. Expected contributions of research to field				Varanta .	
6. Ability to use clear, simple English with limited jargon.				/	
7. Ability to respond appropriately to questions				✓	
8. Presentation delivered effectively within allocated time					
9. Overall quality of powerpoint presentation					
TOTAL				28	10
Extensive literature has been utilized - well Well structured presentation. High mohvation towards researching the to You really need this to complete	opic shor		apprecia	fed.	
104 Tearly Reta Tios, to compare					
Assessor's name (optional): (a copy of this assessment sheet will be provided to the students)				38	